

# GREECE MACRO MONITOR

April 7, 2015

## Greek GDP NOWcasting

### real GDP growth estimates for Q1 2015

QoQ *seasonally adjusted*: -0.4% to -0.6% vs. -0.4% in Q4 2014

Year-on-year *seasonally adjusted*: 0.0% to 0.2% vs. 1.3% in Q4 2014

Dr. Platon Monokroussos  
Group Chief Economist  
Deputy General Manager  
[pmonokrousos@eurobank.gr](mailto:pmonokrousos@eurobank.gr)

This note presents a preliminary estimate of Greek real GDP for the first quarter of this year, based on a range of data released up to April 6, 2015. Our Nowcasting model produces high frequency, real-time estimates of Greece's gross domestic product by applying an econometric methodology that can properly handle data reporting lags, revisions and other important aspects characterizing the daily flow of macroeconomic information. Our mid-range estimate of real output growth in Q1 2015 is -0.4% to -0.6% on a quarter-on-quarter seasonally adjusted basis, which translates into a nearly flat year-on-year rate. This compares with a realization of -0.38% QoQ s.a. / +1.31% YoY in the fourth quarter of last year. Due to reporting lags, the flow of macro data pertaining to Q1 2015 will continue in the following couple of months and thus, our Q1 GDP estimate should be considered as strictly preliminary and subject to revisions.

### GDP Nowcasting model estimate for Q1 2015

This report presents our Nowcasting model estimate for Greek GDP in Q1 2015. A *technical description of the model and its output can be found in the Appendix section of this document*. In ESA2010 accounting terms, our estimates for the first quarter of this year are as follows:

GDP in 2010 prices (mid-point estimate): €46.4bn vs. €46.6bn in Q4 2014;

QoQ s.a. GDP growth (mid-point estimate): -0.50% vs. -0.38% in Q4 2014;

YoY s.a. GDP growth (mid-point estimate): +0.10% vs. +1.31% in Q4 2014.

Broadly speaking, it seems that most of the positive momentum in the domestic economy that was experienced in the greater part of last year has been lost. For the year 2015 as a whole, we continue to expect slightly positive real output growth, mainly thanks to another strong year for Greek tourism<sup>1</sup>, decelerating imports growth and a small positive carry over (+0.13ppts) from last year's GDP dynamics. A key prerequisite for the latter view to materialize is the swift resolution of uncertainty related to the current state of negotiations with official lenders in the context of the agreement reached at the February 20th Eurogroup.

### Greek economy built some positive momentum in 2014

Greece's real GDP grew by 0.7% in 2014 (*i.e.*, a tad faster than the official forecast of +0.6%), after contracting by 4.0% in the prior year. Respective GDP deflator readings were -2.6% in 2014 and -2.3% in 2013. In the fourth quarter of last year, real GDP recorded QoQ seasonally-adjusted (s.a.) growth of -0.4%, discontinuing a stream of positive readings over the prior three quarters. The most notable features of the 2014 data breakdown are as follows (see *Table 1 & Figure 1* below). Private consumption recorded positive QoQ s.a. growth in the last three quarters of 2014, with the full-year reading coming in at +1.4%. This followed mostly negative readings over the prior 5-year

<sup>1</sup> According to the Greek Tourism Confederation (SETE), airport tourism arrivals are expected to increase by around 1 million this year, reaching a total of 15.5 million visitors. This projection is based on advance bookings data collected by SETE. Based on this projection, total foreign visitor arrivals (including cruises) are expected to reach c. 25 million in 2015. Tourism is one of the most important industries of Greece, accounting for between 15 to 20 percent of domestic GDP in GVA terms.

April 7, 2015

period. Despite the still negative savings rate of households (c. -6% of average disposable income), the aforementioned improvement came as no major surprise to us, given the pent-up demand accumulated over a prolonged period characterized by a severe domestic recession. Separately, real investment expenditure recorded positive QoQ s.a. growth in the last three quarters of 2014, rising by an impressive 18.3% QoQ s.a. in Q4. This improvement came after the hefty losses experienced in the prior 6 years (2008-2013) and mainly reflects strong gains in investment in tools and machinery as well as in transportation equipment. Finally, last year saw a strong improvement in export activity, chiefly on the back of hefty gains in services exports *i.e.*, tourism and, to a lesser extent, shipping revenue. However, imports growth also turned positive, reflecting improving domestic demand dynamics, leading to a considerable decline of the positive contribution of net exports

#### **Anecdotal evidence and recent data point to a renewed pause in domestic economic activity**

In what follows, we provide a brief summary of the most important data releases pertaining to the first quarter of 2015. In the first three months of this year there has been a deterioration in the economic sentiment indicator for Greece. In March, the economic sentiment indicator decreased by 1.4 units (96.8 vs 98.2) from its level in February and by 2.3 units compared to December 2014. As regards its main sub-indices, sentiment in industry, services, retail trade and construction were down by 7.2, 15.6, 8.8, 23.4ppts, respectively in March 2015, compared to their respective levels in December 2014. On a more positive note, consumer confidence increased by 22.9 points in Q1 2015, mainly reflecting a strong bounce in February. In our view, this mainly reflects improving consumer expectations following the January 25 national election and it remains to be seen whether this improvement will be sustained in the following months. Elsewhere, the industrial production index recorded a nearly flat annual rate of change in January, but declined by 4.67ppts relative to the prior month. Furthermore, the retail trade turnover index decreased by 2.56% YoY in January, the unemployment rate stood at c. 26% in December, while the annual change of the national consumer price index was -2.16% YoY in February. In the domestic banking system, private sector deposits decreased by c. €19.8bn in January-February, while private-sector credit (outstanding balances) recorded an annual rate of decline of 1.5% YoY in February 2015, which compares with a rate of -4.0% in the same month a year earlier. Finally, the most recent customs-based trade statistics (EL.STAT data) revealed a decline of goods imports by 15.3% YoY in the first two months of this year, which compares with a 4% drop in the same period a year earlier. Excluding fuels, the respective annual changes were: -1.9% YoY (Jan-Feb 2015) and +7.1% YoY (Jan-Feb 2014). Furthermore, goods exports dropped by 7.6% YoY in Jan-Feb 2015, after recording a 4.9% YoY decline in the first two months of 2014. Excluding fuels, the respective annual changes were +9.9% and -3.9%.


**Table 1 – Greek GDP and Components (2010 prices, % YoY)**

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>
<b>Real GDP</b>	-4.0%	0.7%
<b>Consumption expenditure</b> <i>(households &amp; NPISHs)</i>	-2.2%	1.4%
<b>Public expenditure</b>	-5.1%	-0.8%
<b>Gross fixed capital formation</b>	-9.5%	3.0%
<b>Total exports</b>	1.5%	8.8%
<b>of which</b>		
<b>Exports of goods</b>	2.2%	5.2%
<b>Exports of services</b>	0.8%	12.7%
<b>Total imports</b>	-2.9%	7.4%
<b>of which</b>		
<b>Imports of goods</b>	-0.9%	8.4%
<b>Imports of services</b>	-10.6%	3.1%

Source: EL.STAT, Eurobank Economic Research

April 7, 2015

Figure 1 – Greek GDP growth (2010 prices, % YoY)



Source: EL.STAT, Eurobank Economic Research

### Focus – Necessary ingredients of a long-term growth strategy for Greece

#### A long-term growth strategy for Greece should not rely on

- A new unsustainable increase in (private & public) consumption  
(currently 90%-of-GDP in Greece vs. long-term avg of 77% in EA; still negative savings rate)
- Channeling of new investment into low-productivity, low value-added sectors
- Prolonged trapping of resources in insolvent & poorly managed companies (expeditious management of NPLs is needed)

#### Instead, a viable long-term growth strategy should emphasize...

- Higher domestic investment spending & FDI  
(gross fixed investment 11.5%-of-GDP in Greece vs. 18%-of-GDP in EA)
- Improvements in non-cost competitiveness and extroversion  
(total exports 32.5%-of-GDP in Greece vs. 46.5% in EA)

#### ...and should rely on

- Further improvements in domestic business & regulatory environment
- Reforms in judiciary system to protect investor rights & expedite resolution of business and household bad loans
- Stable, simple & just taxation system
- Cut of red tape and simplification of custom procedures

## Appendix - Nowcasting model for Greek GDP: a brief description

Information about the current state of the real economy is widely dispersed across consumers, firms and policy makers. Individual economic agents may know the recent history of their saving and investment decisions, but they are generally unaware of the contemporaneous decisions of others. Similarly, policymakers do not have access to accurate contemporaneous information concerning private sector activity. Information about the state of the economy is regularly collected, aggregated and disseminated to the general public by a number of official-sector entities such as national statistic agencies, ministries, employment offices and central banks. Yet, it is generally the case that the collection and aggregation of macroeconomic data takes time and thus, its dissemination (e.g. in the form of economic data announcements) occurs with considerable time lags. The implication of this is twofold; first, it inhibits the ability of the monetary and/or the fiscal authority to take timely policy decisions that fully incorporate the most recent information on the state of the macroeconomy; and second, it prevents a more accurate understanding of the behavior of private-sector agents and the evolution of asset prices<sup>2</sup>. In what follows, we provide a brief description of an econometric model we have developed to derive real-time estimates of Greek GDP, based on the information provided by a broad range of indicators of domestic economic and market activity.

### Data reporting lags and other aspects characterizing the flow of macroeconomic information

The Nowcasting model presented in this paper aims to produce high frequency, real-time estimates of Greek GDP by applying an econometric methodology that can properly handle data reporting lags, revisions and other important aspects characterizing the daily flow of macroeconomic information. Our model is broadly similar to that initially presented in Evans (2005)<sup>3</sup>, with certain modifications being made so as to meet our estimation and forecasting objectives. In the remaining part of this section we provide a non-technical description of the model and its output<sup>4</sup>. As a first step in understanding the structure of this paper it is crucial to highlight and discuss some of the peculiarities characterizing the flow of information that is relevant to the macroeconomy. For this purpose, a distinction needs to be made between the arrival of information and the data collection period. Information relevant to the evolution of real economic activity can generally arrive via data releases on any working day (except e.g. national holidays), while GDP data is collected on a quarterly basis. In Greece, the national stats agency, EL.STAT., releases GDP data for any given quarter  $\tau$  in a sequence of two announcements. These announcements take the form of a *flash* estimate and a *provisional* data release that usually take place in the second and the third month of quarter  $\tau+1$ , respectively. Yet, these releases do not actually represent the last official verdict on Gross Domestic Product in quarter  $\tau$ , as every 1 year or so EL.STAT. conducts a comprehensive review of its earlier estimates, an exercise that usually leads to certain revisions in past GDP data. Furthermore, a more comprehensive assessment (and a change of the base year) is conducted every five years.

### Real-time inferences

Following the relevant notation presented in Evans (2005), we index quarters by  $\tau$ , with  $Q(\tau)$  signifying the last day of quarter  $\tau$  and  $M(\tau, 1)$ ,  $M(\tau, 2)$  and  $M(\tau, 3)$  denoting the last days of the first, second and third months of quarter  $\tau$ , respectively. The day on which a certain data release is taking place is then signified by  $R_x(\tau)$  for a quarterly-frequency variable  $x$  collected over quarter  $\tau$ , and by  $R_x(\tau, i)$  with  $i=1,2,3$  for a monthly-frequency variable collected over month  $i$  of quarter  $\tau$ . In a similar vein, the value of a quarterly variable  $x$  released on day  $R_x(\tau)$  is denoted by  $x_R(\tau)$ , while that of a monthly variable released on day  $R_x(\tau, i)$  by  $x_R(\tau, i)$ . Figure 1 below helps to clarify the aforementioned points, offering a visual depiction of the relationship between data collection periods and reporting lags. The figure portrays the typical data collection periods and release times for Greek Gross Domestic Product and Retail Sales (RS), with  $GDP_{Q(\tau)}$  representing real GDP growth in quarter  $\tau$  and  $RS_{M(\tau,3)}$  denoting the value of Greece's retail sales index for the 3<sup>rd</sup> month of quarter  $\tau$ .

<sup>2</sup> For instance, Evans and Lyons (2004a) demonstrate that the lack of timely information concerning the state of the macroeconomy can significantly influence the dynamics of exchange and interest rates by altering the trading-based process of information aggregation.

<sup>3</sup> Evans, M., "Where Are We Now? Real-Time Estimates of the Macro Economy", NBER Working Paper No. 11064, January 2005.

<sup>4</sup> A more detailed prescription of our Nowcasting model and its output can be found in Greece Macro Monitor, "Eurobank GDP NOWcasting model", Eurobank Global Markets Research, November 18, 2013.



April 7, 2015

Greek GDP, including relevant information about full-sample periods, data collection periods and reporting frequencies as well as the total number of observations for each series with reporting lags of 0,1,2,3 and 4 months.

We note that the data series for the macro indicators used to estimate our model correspond to *initial* data releases *e.g.* before any revisions to past data are made by the corresponding data source/provider. The only exception here concerns the GDP data, for which, as we explained earlier, we use the second release (provisional data) for any given quarter, as there is currently no availability of the time series of initial GDP releases (*flash* estimates). For the market indicators utilized in our study we use end-of-month closing prices/values taken from Bloomberg. We seasonally-adjust the data series used to estimate our model when appropriate (*e.g.* no seasonal adjustment is applied to our market indicators). In line with Evans (2005), we also apply the following data transformation for each of the monthly indicators and the quarterly retail trade turnover index.

Let  $z_{R(\tau,j)}^i$  denote the raw value for series  $i$  released on day  $t = R(\tau,j)$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the quarter and  $j$  the corresponding month to which the data refers to. The transformed series entering the model has the following semi-differencing form:  $Z_{R(\tau,j)}^i = (z_{R(\tau,j)}^i - z_{\text{mean}}^i) - \alpha_i (z_{R(\tau,j-1)}^i - z_{\text{mean}}^i)$ , where  $z_{\text{mean}}^i$  is the sample mean of  $z^i$ . As noted in Evans (2005), quasi-differencing in this way allows each of the raw-data series to have a differing degree of persistence than the monthly contribution to GDP growth without including serial correlation in the corresponding projection errors. The degree of quasi-differencing depends on the  $\alpha_i$  parameters which are jointly estimated with the other model parameters. As a robustness check, we also estimated model specifications which did not incorporate semi-differencing of monthly series in the form described above, but, instead, first differencing of the corresponding series. The results of this exercise are qualitatively similar with the estimates provided by the initial semi-differencing.

### The Model

The real-time estimates of GDP in quarter  $\tau$  presented in this paper is based on the *provisional* data of Greece's quarterly national accounts (which are regularly reported in the 3<sup>rd</sup> month of quarter  $\tau+1$ ) and the monthly releases of a range of other macroeconomic and market indicators. For that purpose, we first decompose quarterly GDP growth into a sequence of daily increments as follows:

$$\Delta^Q X_{Q(\tau)} = \sum_{i=1}^{D(\tau)} \Delta^Q X_{Q(\tau-1)+i} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $D(\tau) = Q(\tau) - Q(\tau-1)$  is the duration of quarter  $\tau$  and the daily increment  $\Delta X_t$  represents the contribution on day  $t$  to the growth of GDP in quarter  $\tau$ . To incorporate then the information contained in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  macro variable  $z^i$ , we project  $z_{R(\tau,j)}^i$  on a portion of GDP growth

$$z_{R(\tau,j)}^i = \beta_i \Delta^M X_{M(\tau,j)} + u_{M(\tau,j)}^i \quad (2.2)$$

Where  $\Delta^M X_{M(\tau,j)}$  is the contribution to GDP growth in month  $j$  of quarter  $\tau$ ,  $(\Delta^M X_{M(\tau,j)} = \sum_{i=M(\tau,j-1)+1}^{M(\tau,j)} \Delta X_i)$ ,  $\beta_i$  is a projection coefficient and  $u_{M(\tau,j)}^i$  is a projection error that is orthogonal to  $\Delta^M X_{M(\tau,j)}$ .

The end-of-quarter real time GDP estimates presented in this paper are then contracted as follows:

$$E[\Delta^Q X_{Q(\tau)} / \Omega_{Q(\tau)}]$$

where  $\Omega_t = \Omega_t^z \cup \Omega_t^y$ , with  $\Omega_t^z$  representing the information set comprising of data on the macroeconomic and market indicators used in this study that have been released on or before day  $t$ .

The dynamics of the model are characterized by the evolution of the following two partial sums:

$$s_t^Q = \sum_{i=Q(\tau-1)+1}^{\min\{Q(\tau),t\}} \Delta X_i \quad (3.1)$$

$$s_t^M = \sum_{i=M(\tau,j-1)+1}^{\min\{M(\tau,j),t\}} \Delta X_i \quad (3.2)$$

Equation (3.1) represents the cumulative daily contribution to GDP growth in quarter  $\tau$ , ending on day  $t \leq Q(\tau)$ . Similarly, equation (3.2) depicts the cumulative daily contribution to GDP growth between the start of month  $j$  in quarter  $\tau$  and day  $t$ , where  $t \leq M(\tau,j)$ .

To define the daily dynamics of the two partial sums described above, the following dummy variables are introduced:

$$\lambda_t^M = 1 \text{ if } t = M(\tau,j)+1, \text{ from } j = 1,2,3 \text{ and zero (0) otherwise.}$$

$$\lambda_t^Q = 1 \text{ if } t = Q(\tau)+1, \text{ and zero (0) otherwise.}$$

In others words,  $\lambda_t^M$  and  $\lambda_t^Q$  take the value of one if day  $t$  is the first day of the month or quarter respectively.

Based on the above definitions, the daily dynamics of  $s_t^Q$  and  $s_t^M$  can be described by the following equations:



April 7, 2015

$$s_t^Q = (1 - \lambda_t^Q) s_{t-1}^Q + \Delta X_t, \quad (4.1)$$

$$s_t^M = (1 - \lambda_t^M) s_{t-1}^M + \Delta X_t. \quad (4.2)$$

The next portion of the model accommodates the reporting lags. Let  $\Delta^{Q(j)} X_t$  denote the quarterly growth in GDP ending on day  $Q(\tau-j)$  where  $Q(\tau)$  represents the last day of the most recently completed quarter and  $t \geq Q(\tau)$ .

Quarterly GDP growth in the last (completed) quarter is given by

$$\Delta^{Q(1)} X_t = (1 - \lambda_t^Q) \Delta^{Q(1)} X_{t-1} + \lambda_t^Q s_{t-1}^Q \quad (5.1)$$

When  $t$  is the first day of a new quarter,  $\lambda_t^Q = 1$  and  $\Delta^{Q(1)} X_{Q(\tau)+1} = s_{Q(\tau)}^Q = \Delta^{Q(1)} X_{Q(\tau)}$ . On all other days,  $\Delta^{Q(1)} X_t = \Delta^{Q(1)} X_{t-1}$ .

Equations (4.1) and (5.1) provide the link between the daily contribution to GDP growth,  $\Delta X_t$ , and the provisional GDP release,  $Y_t$  as follows:

$$Y_t = \Delta^{Q(1)} X_t + U_{R(t)} \quad (5.2)$$

The link between the daily contributions to GDP growth and the monthly macro variables is derived in a similar manner. In more detail, let  $\Delta^{M(j)} X_t$  denote the monthly contribution to quarterly GDP growth ending on day  $M(\tau, j-i)$ , where  $M(\tau, j)$  represents the last day of the most recently completed month and  $t \geq M(\tau, j)$ . The contribution to GDP growth in the last (completed) month is the given by

$$\Delta^{M(i)} X_t = (1 - \lambda_t^M) \Delta^{M(i)} X_{t-1} + \lambda_t^M \Delta^{M(i-1)} X_{t-1}. \quad (5.3)$$

Similarly to the case above, if  $t$  is the first day of a new month,  $\lambda_t^M = 1$ , then  $\Delta^{M(1)} X_{M(\tau, j)+1} = s_{M(\tau, j)}^M = \Delta^{M(1)} X_{M(\tau, j)}$  and  $\Delta^{M(i)} X_{M(\tau, j)+1} = \Delta^{M(i-1)} X_{M(\tau, j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . On all other days,  $\Delta^{M(i)} X_t = \Delta^{M(i)} X_{t-1}$ .

The  $\Delta^{M(i)} X_t$  variables link the monthly data releases,  $Z_t^i$ , to quarterly GDP growth as follows:

If the reporting lag for macro series  $i$  is less than one month, the value released on day  $t$  can be written as

$$z_t^i = \beta_i \Delta^{M(1)} X_t + u_t^i. \quad (6.1)$$

If now the reporting lag for the variable  $z^i$  is two months, the value released on day  $t$  can be written

$$z_t^i = \beta_i \Delta^{M(2)} X_t + u_t^i. \quad (6.2)$$

The same concept applies to data releases with reporting lags of three or more months, while for macro series with reporting lags of zero months (i.e., release takes place before the end of reference month), equations (6.1) and (6.2) take the following form:

$$z_t^i = \beta_i s_M^t + u_t^i. \quad (6.3)$$

To complete the model we next specify the dynamics for the daily contributions,  $\Delta X_t$  as follows:

$$\Delta X_t = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i \Delta^{M(1)} X_t + e_t \quad (6.4)$$

where  $e_t$  is an i.i.d., zero mean normally-distributed shock with variable  $\sigma_e^2$ .

Note that the last equation expresses the growth contribution on day  $t$  as a weighted average of the monthly contributions over the last  $k$  (completed) months, plus an error term.

Finding the real time estimates of GDP and GDP growth boils down to computing  $E[X_{Q(\tau)} / \Omega_t]$  and  $E[\Delta^{Q(1)} X_{Q(\tau)} / \Omega_t]$  using the quarterly signaling equation (5.2), the monthly signaling equations (6.1)-(6.3) and the  $\Delta X_t$  process specified in equation (6.4) given the values of all estimated parameters in these equations. This estimation process is complicated by the fact that individual data releases are irregularly spaced, and arrive in a non-synchronized manner: On some days there may be only one release, on others there are several, and on some there are none at all. In short, the temporal pattern of data releases is quite unlike that found in standard time-series applications. The Kalman Filtering algorithm provides a solution to both problems. In particular, given a set of parameter values, the algorithm provides the means to compute the real-time estimates  $E[X_{Q(\tau)} / \Omega_t]$  and  $E[\Delta^{Q(1)} X_{Q(\tau)} / \Omega_t]$ . The algorithm also allows us to construct a sample likelihood function from the data series, so that the model parameters can be computed by maximum likelihood. Although the Kalman Filtering algorithm has been used extensively in the applied time-series literature, its application in the current context has several novel aspects that are properly dealt with in the framework provided in Evans (2005).

April 7, 2015

In what follows, we provide a brief description of the state-space form we use to write the model so as to generate the aforementioned calculations.

Starting with the state equation, this can be represented as follows:

$$Z_t = A_t Z_{t-1} + V_t \quad (7)$$

where, in our case,  $Z_t$  is the following  $9 \times 1$  vector

$$Z_t = [s_{t-1}^Q, \Delta^{Q(1)}X_t, s_{t-1}^M, \Delta^{M(1)}X_t, \Delta^{M(2)}X_t, \Delta^{M(3)}X_t, \Delta^{M(4)}X_t, \Delta^{M(5)}X_t, \Delta X_t]' \text{ and}$$

$A_t$  is a  $9 \times 9$  coefficient matrix constructed by equations (4.1), (5.1) and (6.1)-(6.4).

We note here that the dimension (and the elements) of state vector  $Z_t$  in our model are determined by the release lags of the quarterly and monthly data we use. These are: 1 quarter for GDP (and a couple of other quarterly-frequency indicators we use); and 0 to 5 months for the monthly indicators. Finally, for the autoregressive parameter  $\phi_i$  used to describe the dynamics of the daily contribution,  $\Delta X_t$ , in equation (6.4), we estimate (as a test for robustness) different specifications with  $k = 0, 1, 2$  &  $3$ . Furthermore, unlike to traditional state space specifications, the state transition matrix  $A_t$  is not constant but depends on the values of quarterly and monthly dummies  $\lambda_t^M$  and  $\lambda_t^Q$  and thus, it is time-varying.

We next turn to the observation equation, which has the following form:

$$X_t = C_t Z_t + U_t \quad (8)$$

where  $X_t$  is the vector of *potential* data releases for day  $t$ ,  $Z_t$  is the state vector and  $C_t$  the corresponding coefficient matrix.

Here,  $X_t = [Y_t, z_{t-1}^1, z_{t-1}^2, \dots, z_{t-1}^J]'$  is a  $\lambda \times 1$  vector, where, as we noted earlier,  $Y_t$  represents the provisional GDP and  $z_{t-1}^1, z_{t-1}^2, \dots, z_{t-1}^J$  are the monthly indicators utilized in our study.  $C_t$  is a  $\lambda \times 9$  matrix, with its first row having the following form:

$[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]'$ , since in our data sample provisional GDP data for quarter  $\tau$  is always released before the end of quarter  $\tau+1$ , and its following  $\lambda-1$  rows being represented as follows:

$[0, 0, \beta_J ML_t^0(z^j), \beta_J ML_t^1(z^j), \beta_J ML_t^2(z^j), \beta_J ML_t^3(z^j), \beta_J ML_t^4(z^j), \beta_J ML_t^5(z^j), 0]'$ , for row  $J$  of matrix  $C_t$ ,

where  $ML_t^m(z^j)$ , is a dummy variable that takes the value of 1 when the  $z^j$  macro/market indicators for a certain month of quarter  $\tau$  is released with a time lag of  $m$  months (in our data sample,  $m=0,1,2,3,4$  &  $5$ ).

Again, equation (8) links the vector of potential data releases for day  $t$ ,  $X_t$ , to the elements of the state vector,  $Z_t$ . The elements of  $X_t$  identify the value that would have been released for each series given the current state,  $Z_t$ ; if day  $t$  was in fact the release day. Of course, on a typical day, we would only observe the elements in  $X_t$  that correspond to the actual releases that day. For example, if data on provisional GDP and monthly series  $i = 2$  &  $3$  were released on day  $t$ , we would only observe the values in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> rows of  $X_t$ . On the other hand, on days when there are no releases, none of the elements of  $X_t$  are observed.

The vector of actual data releases for day  $t$ ,  $Y_t$ , is related to the vector of potential releases by the following equation:

$$Y_t = B_t X_t \quad (8.1)$$

where  $B_t$  is a  $n \times 9$  selection matrix that "picks out" the  $n \geq 1$  data releases for day  $t$ .

Combining equations (8) and (8.1) gives the observation equation:

$$Y_t = B_t C_t Z_t + B_t U_t \quad (8.2)$$

Equation (8.2) differs in several respects from the observation equation specification found in standard time-series applications. First, the equation only applies on days for which at least one data release takes place. Second, the link between the observed data releases and the state vector varies through time via  $C_t$  as  $QL_t^i(z)$  and  $ML_t^i(z)$  change. These variations arise because the reporting lag associated with a given data series change from release to release. Third, the number and nature of the data releases varies from day to day (i.e., the dimension of  $Y_t$  can vary across consecutive data-release days) via the  $B_t$  matrix.

Equations (7) and (8) describe a state space form which can be used to derive real-time estimates of GDP. The estimation takes place in two steps. First, the maximum likelihood estimates of the model parameters are derived. Second, real-time estimates of GDP are calculated using the maximum likelihood parameter estimates from the first step. A more thorough analysis of these steps is provided in Evans (2005).



April 7, 2015

### Derivation of Real-Time estimates of GDP

Upon deriving the maximum likelihood estimates of the model parameters, the Kalman Filtering equations can be used to derive real-time estimates of Greek GDP. These are given by the following formulas:

#### Real-time estimates of quarterly GDP growth in quarter $\tau$

For  $t = Q(\tau)$  i.e., the last day of the reference quarter, real GDP growth of quarter  $\tau$  estimated based on the information (i.e., values of the data series used) available at day  $t$  is given by

$$\Delta^Q X_{Q(\tau)/Q(\tau)} = E [s_{Q(\tau)}^Q / \Omega_{Q(\tau)}] = h_1 Z_{Q(\tau)/Q(\tau)}^{\text{est}}$$

where  $h_1$  is a selection indicator that selects the first row of the  $9 \times 1$  vector estimate  $Z$  at time  $t = Q(\tau)$

For  $Q(\tau) < t \leq Q(\tau+1)$  i.e., for days falling in quarter  $\tau+1$ , real GDP growth of quarter  $\tau$  estimated based on the information (i.e., values of the data series used) available at day  $t$  is given by

$$\Delta^Q X_{Q(\tau)/t} = h_2 Z_{t/t}^{\text{est}}$$

where  $h_2$  is the selection indicator that selects the second row of the  $9 \times 1$  vector estimate  $Z$  at time  $t$

For  $Q(\tau-1) < t < Q(\tau)$  i.e., for days after the first (and before the last) day of quarter  $\tau$ , real GDP growth of quarter  $\tau$  estimated based on the information (i.e., values of the data series used) available at day  $t$  is given by

$$\Delta^Q X_{Q(\tau)/t} = [h_1 + h_4 \phi^{\text{est}}(Q(\tau)-t)] Z_{t/t}^{\text{est}}$$

when there is only one autoregressive parameter ( $k=1$ ) in the specification of the dynamics for the daily contributions,  $\Delta X_t$ , (i.e., the last element of the state vector  $Z$ ). Here  $h_1$  and  $h_4$  are selection indicators that select the first and fourth elements of the  $9 \times 1$  vector estimate  $Z$  at time  $t$ .

The main essence of our model can be summarized as follows. Each of the macro and market indicators used in our study is first transformed in such a way so as to allow us to account for its degree of relative persistence as regards its contribution in explaining economic growth. Then, each indicator is linked to both its own high-frequency (monthly) releases and to the lower-frequency (quarterly) releases of GDP growth. The latter is assumed to be driven by the combined effect of its own contributions (daily, monthly, and quarterly) and explained by the evolution of various indicators. All component equations of our models are stochastic and contain error terms whose corresponding variances are estimated and reported as log-variance estimates with negative signs. The variance estimates are all significant across models, thus validating the stochastic nature of the equations used. Finally, to model the daily contributions to GDP growth we consider the lagged effects of the monthly announcements and we find that their effect is either very small or statistically insignificant; this means that, during the period of examination, there is little persistence generated at the daily level by the releases and announcements of macroeconomic variables and thus the impact of news dies out rather quickly.

April 7, 2015

Table A1. Data series used in our empirical study(\*)

Data series	Source	Full-sample period	Data collection period/ reporting frequency	Number of observations with reporting lag of zero (0) months or quarters	Number of observations with reporting lag of 1 month or quarter	Number of observations with reporting lag of 2 months	Number of observations with reporting lag of 3 months	Number of observations with reporting lag of 4 months
GDP (constant prices)	ELSTAT	3Q 2005-3Q2013	Quarterly	0	35	0	0	0
Retail sales index (volume)	ELSTAT	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	89	13	0
Road motor vehicles put into circulation for the 1 <sup>st</sup> time	ELSTAT	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	98	2	2	0
Unemployment rate	ELSTAT	Apr 2007-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	0	78	0
Number of employed	ELSTAT	Apr 2007-Oct 2014	Monthly	0	0	0	78	0
New Primate Sector Hirings	Ministry of Labour, Social Security & Welfare	Jan 2006-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	92	0	0	0
CPI	ELSTAT	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	103	0	0	0
Building permits	ELSTAT	Jan 2008-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	0	67	11
Industrial production index	ELSTAT	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	102	0	0
Manufacturing production index	ELSTAT	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	102	0	0
Current account balance	BoG	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	102	0	0
Turnover index in retail trade	ELSTAT	Jul 2007-Oct 2013	Quarterly	0	0	0	28	1
Index of new orders in industry	ELSTAT	Mar 2006-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	83	2	9
Turnover index in industry	ELSTAT	Oct 2006-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	0	83	1	0
MFI credit to domestic businesses and households	BoG	Oct 2008-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	46	13	0	0
Domestic private sector bank deposits	BoG	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	101	1	0	0
CPI-based REER	ECB	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	102	0	0	0
ULC-based REER	ECB	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Quarterly	0	0	0	0	35
Central gvnt revenue	FinMin	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	0	102	0	0	0
Central gvnt expenditure	FinMin	Mar 2005-Oct 2015	Monthly	0	102	0	0	0
Economic Climate Index	IOBE	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	102	0	0	0	0
Athens Stock Exchange (ASE) index	Bloomberg	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	103	0	0	0	0
ASE Volatility	Bloomberg	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	103	0	0	0	0
EONIA	Bloomberg	Mar 2005-Oct 2013	Monthly	103	0	0	0	0
VIX	Bloomberg	Mar 2005-Oct 2015	Monthly	103	0	0	0	0

Source: ECB, ELSTAT, EC, Bloomberg, Ministry of Labour, Social Security &amp; Welfare, Eurobank Global Markets Research

(\*) Our data base has been properly updated to include all respective data releases up to April 6, 2015

## Eurobank Economic Analysis and Financial Markets Research

**Dr. Platon Monokroussos:** *Group Chief Economist*  
*pmonokroussos@eurobank.gr, + 30 210 37 18 903*

### Research Team

**Anna Dimitriadou:** *Economic Analyst*  
*andimitriadou@eurobank.gr, + 30 210 37 18 793*

**Ioannis Gkionis:** *Research Economist*  
*igkionis@eurobank.gr + 30 210 3337305*

**Stylianios Gogos:** *Economic Analyst*  
*sgogos@eurobank.gr + 30 210 3337004*

**Olga Kosma:** *Economic Analyst*  
*okosma@eurobank.gr + 30 210 3337249*

**Arkadia Konstantopoulou:** *Research Assistant*  
*arkonstantopoulou@eurobank.gr + 30 210 337037*

**Paraskevi Petropoulou:** *G10 Markets Analyst*  
*ppetropoulou@eurobank.gr, + 30 210 37 18 991*

**Galatia Phoka:** *Research Economist*  
*gphoka@eurobank.gr, + 30 210 37 18 922*

### Global Markets Sales

**Nikos Laios:** *Head of Treasury Sales*  
*nlaios@eurobank.gr, + 30 210 37 18 910*

**Alexandra Papathanasiou:** *Head of Institutional Sales*  
*apapathanasiou@eurobank.gr, +30 210 37 18 996*

**John Seimenis:** *Head of Corporate Sales*  
*yseimenis@eurobank.gr, +30 210 37 18 909*

**Achilleas Stogioglou:** *Head of Private Banking Sales*  
*astogioglou@eurobank.gr, +30 210 37 18 904*

**George Petrogiannis:** *Head of Shipping Sales*  
*gpetrogiannis@eurobank.gr, +30 210 37 18 915*

**Vassilis Gioulbaxiotis:** *Head Global Markets International*  
*vgioulbaxiotis@eurobank.gr, +30 210 3718995*

Eurobank Ergasias S.A., 8 Othonos Str, 105 57 Athens, tel: +30 210 33 37 000, fax: +30 210 33 37 190, email: [EurobankGlobalMarketsResearch@eurobank.gr](mailto:EurobankGlobalMarketsResearch@eurobank.gr)

## Eurobank Economic Analysis and Financial Markets Research

More research editions available at <http://www.eurobank.gr/research>

- **Daily Overview of Global markets & the SEE Region:** Daily overview of key macro & market developments in Greece, regional economies & global markets
- **Greece Macro Monitor:** Periodic publication on the latest economic & market developments in Greece
- **Regional Economics & Market Strategy Monthly:** Monthly edition on economic & market developments in the region
- **Global Economy & Markets Monthly:** Monthly review of the international economy and financial markets

Subscribe electronically at <http://www.eurobank.gr/research>

Follow us on twitter: <http://twitter.com/Eurobank>

